(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P. No.1321 of 2002

DEEPAK KUMAR GUPTA SON OF LATE BHOLANATH GUPTA AGED 45 YEARS RESIDENT OF KALPANA HOME, STATION ROAD, DURG.

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. **STATE OF CHHATTISGARH THROUGH THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINERAL RESOURCES, DAU KALYAN SINGH BHAWAN, RAIPUR.**

2. **THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, MINERAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, RAIPUR.**

3. **THE COLLECTOR (MINING DEPARTMENT), DISTRICT DURG.**

4. **CHHATTISGARH STATE MINING CORPORATION, G.E.ROAD, RAIPUR.**

RESPONDENTS

P. R. No. 1321/02
Presented by Shri A.D. Shukla
dated 03.10.2002

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND/OR ORDER(S), AND/OR DIRECTION(S) IN THE NATURE OF WRITS

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No.1321/2002

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

03-10-2002

Shri B.P. Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard on admission.

By this writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner prays for a direction commanding respondents to dispose of the revision preferred by the petitioner under Rule 57(4) of the M.P.Minor Mineral Rules 1996 at the earliest.

The grievance of the petitioner is that he has applied for grant of prospective licence in respect of 14.50 hectares of land in Khasra No.2820 situated at Village Dhanod, Distt. Durg and by order dated 28.3.2002 petitioner was allowed to carry out the mining operation in the said area. However, by impugned order dated 26-7-2002, respondent no.3 ~~ha~~ informed that out of 14.50 hectares of land, 18.50 acres have been given to the M.P. Mining Corporation. Against the said order dated 26-7-2002, petitioner has filed revision under Rule 57(4) of the M.P.Mining Mineral Rules 1996 which is pending since 11.9.2001.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that ~~though~~ he filed the revision on 11.9.2001, but the same is not being disposed

पृष्ठ देखिए

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

of till today and the matter is being delayed on the one pretext or the other.

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General submits that in the revision proceedings, records were directed to be called for, from the Officer on Special Duty.

All officers whether on special duty or otherwise should produce records and should render due co-operation in early decision of the revision.

In this case, it is directed that the Officer concerned shall submit the records at the earliest and the revision be decided by the authority as early as possible preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, this petition and I.A.No.4271/02 stand disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

/Rao/